

Collaboration

Quality

Effectiveness

Desk Reviews In the PIA System

Version: September 2022

Contents

Aging Well PA, LLC

- Desk review alerts in PIA
 - Two types of desk review alerts
 - Locating desk review alerts in PIA
- Email alerts
- Assigning desk reviews to staff
- How to complete a desk review
 - Creating the desk review in PIA
 - Selecting two FEDs to use in the comparison
 - Opening the FEDs in different windows
 - Comparing the two FEDs side by side
 - What you will review for regular and priority desk reviews
 - Completing and attaching a priority desk review template
 - Using the comment section
 - Notifying Aging Well QCSS of completed priority desk reviews

Integrity

Collaboration

Quality

Desk Review Alerts in PIA



When information for a desk review is available, PIA will generate an alert to the AAA.


- There are two types of desk review alerts.
 - ▶ The first is a **priority** alert when the determination has changed from **NFCE to NFI**.
 - ▶ The alert description will state *Priority Level of Care has changed*.
 - ► These must **ALWAYS** be given priority.
- ▶ The second alert is Information for Desk Review is Available.
 - ▶ This alert is triggered when an MCO completes an assessment.
- The next slide shows how to look for both types of alerts.

Integrity

Collaboration

Quality

Locating desk review alerts in PIA



Integrity

Collaboration

Quality



Exporting desk review alerts in PIA

If you receive multiple alerts, you have the option to download them into an Excel document. Exporting to Excel allows you to manipulate and save the data.

Aging Well created a quick reference guide for exporting alerts in PIA.

The "Desk Review Alert - Exporting to Excel -Quick Reference" document is available on the AWP Website.

Integrity


Collaboration

Quality

Email Alerts



In addition to the alerts in PIA, there is an option to have an email alert sent.




Integrity

Collaboration

Quality





Collaboration

Quality

Assigning Desk Reviews



- When an alert arrives, it must be assigned to someone to complete it. This is done in the same way FEDs are assigned.
- Instructions for assigning individuals to staff and managing desk review alerts in PIA are located on the Aging Well website https://agingwellpa.org under:
 - AWP Resources for AAAs
 - ► PIA Resources > PIA Quick Reference Guides
 - Supervisor Quick Reference Guide
 - Resources for AAA's > Desk Review
 - Desk Review Alert Process
 - ▶ Desk Review Alert- Exporting to Excel Quick Reference

Integrity


Collaboration

Quality

How to Complete a Desk Review

In order to do a desk review, you must have two sets of FED data open at the same time.

▶ The next series of slides will show you how to do this.



......




Integrity

Collaboration

Quality

Effectiveness



You will now see this screen. Click on "Create New" in the upper right corner.

••••••

PASRR Desk Review




Integrity

Collaboration

Quality

Effectiveness



When completing a desk review, you must compare two FEDs. You will select the FEDs by marking the radio box to the left of the FED and then clicking Create New.



Integrity


Collaboration

Quality

Effectiveness

Aging Well PA, LLC 25 S. 29th St. - Suite B Harrisburg, PA 17104

••••••






Collaboration

Quality

Effectiveness






Collaboration

Quality

Effectiveness






Collaboration

Quality

Effectiveness







Collaboration

Quality

Effectiveness





......



Integrity

Collaboration

Quality

Effectiveness

What Will You Review?



For all desk reviews:

- Look to see if the MCO assessment was completed on time. This means, an assessment was done on one or more occasion by the MCO within the past 300-364 days.
 - ► If the MCO assessment was not completed on time, this should be noted in the Comments Section of the desk review (this is covered in later slides).
- Determine if there are any factual discrepancies in the personal information (correct name, date of birth, gender, etc.)
- Determine if the FED is complete; are all the items in the original FED in the new assessment?

Integrity

Collaboration

Quality

For Priority Reviews NFCE to NFI



Integrity

Collaboration

Quality

- When you have a case that has gone from NFCE to NFI, you complete all the items listed on the previous slide.
- Additionally, you must complete the template presented on the next several slides and add it as an attachment to the person's record.
- The template should not be used for non-priority reviews.

Desk Review Template Description



- The template includes only the FED items that are used by the translator to calculate a final determination.
- It includes scoring values for a partial deficit & values for a full deficit.
- It provides a place to enter information comparing scores & a way to identify if any change in scores has changed deficit level.

Integrity

Collaboration

Quality

Desk Review Template



The most up to date fillable PDF copy of this form can be found on the Aging Well PA Website.

When filling in the answers to the "Current Scores" column, you may write each score in that section with a comma in between as shown in the picture.

If there is an "or," provide an answer for both. For example, Section D should have 9 answers.

DESK REVIEW TEMPLATE

When completing a desk review, in addition to identification information look at the following items to determine if a change how the FED Translator calculates the final determination. For example, you will look to see if a full deficit has changed to a full deficit. The following are the items to examine.

Item & Description	Scoring for a Partial Deficit	Scoring for a Full Deficit	Current Scores
B.1. Cognitive Skills for Daily Decision Making	A score of 2 to 4 = partial deficit.	A score of 5 = full deficit	V No Change FED #1 Score FED #2 Score
B.2.a. Short Term Memory B.2.b. Procedural Memory B.2.c. Situational Memory	A score of 1 on one or more on any item = partial deficit.	No full deficit possible with just these items.	No Change FED #1 Scores 0, 0, 0 FED #2 Scores 1, 0, 1
B.3.a. Easily Distracted B.3.b. Disorganized Speech B.3.c. Mental Function Varies	A score of 1 or 2 on one or more of any item = partial deficit.	No full deficit possible with just these items.	No Change FED #1 Scores 0, 0, 0 FED #2 Scores 2, 0, 2

Integrity

Collaboration

Quality

PRIORITY DESK REVIE	EW TEMPLATE			
Name		PIA ID		Date
Completed by:				
	calculates the final dete	ermination. For example		any scoring change was significant enough to has changed to a partial deficit or if a partial deficit
Item & Description	Scoring for a Partial Deficit	Scoring for a Full Deficit	Current Scores	If there is a change in deficit classification, please indicate which it is.
B.1. Cognitive Skills for Daily Decision Making	A score of 2 to 4 = partial deficit.	A score of 5 = full deficit	No Change FED #1 Score FED #2 Score	Change from partial to no deficit Change from partial to a full deficit Change from full deficit to a partial deficit Change from full deficit to no deficit Change from no deficit to a partial deficit Change from no deficit to a full deficit
B.2.a. Short Term Memory B.2.b. Procedural Memory B.2.c. Situational Memory	A score of 1 on one or more on any item = partial deficit.	No full deficit possible with just these items.	FED #2 Scores	Change from no deficit to a partial deficit Change from partial deficit to no deficit
B.3.a. Easily Distracted B.3.b. Disorganized Speech B.3.c. Mental Function Varies	A score of 1 or 2 on one or more of any item = partial deficit.	No full deficit possible with just these items.	No Change FED #1 Scores FED #2 Scores	Change from no deficit to a partial deficit Change from partial deficit to no deficit
C.1.a. Wandering C.1.b. Verbal Abuse C.1.c. Physical Abuse C.1.d. Socially Inappropriate or Disruptive	A score of 2 or 3 on one or more of any item = partial deficit.	No full deficit possible with just these items.	No Change FED #1 Scores FED #2 Scores	Change from no deficit to a partial deficit Change from partial deficit to no deficit



Collaboration

Quality

Effectiveness

Name		PIA ID _		Date	
Completed by:					A
			is an "or," provide a smple: Section D should		
D.1.a. Bathing D.1.b. Personal Tryglene D.1.c. or D.1.d. Dressing D.1.e. or D.1.f. Walking/ Locomotion D.1.g. or D.1.h. Toilet use or transfer D.1.i. Eating	A score of 3 to 6 on any two items = partial deficit.	A score of 3 to 6 on any three or more items = full deficit.	No Change FED #1 Scores FED #2 Scores	Change from partial to no deficit Change from partial to a full deficit Change from full deficit to a partial deficit Change from full deficit to no deficit Change from no deficit to a partial deficit Change from no deficit to a full deficit	
D.2.a. Primary Mode of Locomotion	A score of 2 = partial deficit.	A score of 3 = full deficit.	No Change FED #1 Score FED #2 Score	Change from partial to no deficit Change from partial to a full deficit Change from full deficit to a partial deficit Change from full deficit to no deficit Change from no deficit to a partial deficit Change from no deficit to a full deficit	E
D.3. Managing Medications	A score of 5 or 6 = partial deficit.	No full deficit is possible with this item.	No Change FED #1 Score FED #2 Score	Change from no deficit to a partial deficit Change from partial deficit to no deficit	
E.3. Bowel Continence	No a partial deficit possible.	A score of 4 or 5 = full deficit.	No Change FED #1 Score FED #2 Score	Change from no deficit to a full deficit Change form full deficit to no deficit	Ay 525 Hai



Collaboration

Quality

Effectiveness



Using the Comments Section


► The Comments box is used to describe any discrepancy with the person's identification information and document if the MCO assessment was not completed on time.

See the next slide for an example.

Integrity

Collaboration

Quality






Collaboration

Quality

Effectiveness





Collaboration

Quality

Effectiveness

Using the Comments Section



Integrity

Collaboration

Quality

Effectiveness

► For any NFCE to NFI situation, complete the comments section with the following:

"See Desk Review Template attachment for details."

••••••



Integrity

Collaboration

Quality

esk Review		
Desk Review-		
Start Date:*	10/07/2019	
Due Date:*	10/22/2019	
Submitted Date: *	:	
Created By: *	Aging Well Super User A	
Submitted/Withdrawn By:		
Is there a delay?*	○ Yes ○ No	
Is there a discrepancy?*	○ Yes ○ No	
Comments:		

How to Attach the Desk Review Template



Integrity


Collaboration

Quality

Effectiveness

For Priority Cases, you will need to attach the Desk Review Template within the Desk Review "Attachments" section.

The next slides will outline the steps taken to attach your template.






Collaboration

Quality

Effectiveness






Collaboration

Quality

Effectiveness




This box will pop up. Click on "Choose File" to upload your Desk Review Attachment form. You may write a comment if you choose. Then, click continue.



Integrity

Collaboration

Quality





Collaboration

Quality

Effectiveness



Alerting Aging Well

► All NFCE to NFI situations should be brought to the immediate attention of your QCSS by sending an email with "Priority Desk Review" in the subject line. These will be sent for a MDR.

► The email should include the participant's initials and PIA ID number. Do not include any additional identifying information.

Integrity

Collaboration

Quality

Additional Information



Integrity

Collaboration

Quality

Effectiveness

► This presentation is available in a PDF format & posted on the Aging Well website.

▶ If you have additional questions or need assistance, please contact your Quality, Compliance & Support Specialist.